

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. **FILING DATE** 07/17/2003 Kenneth J. Callahan 10/621,658 58204US002 2061 **EXAMINER** 32692 7590 10/18/2005 **3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY** AHMAD, NASSER PO BOX 33427 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 1772

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	•		)	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/621,658	CALLAHAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Nasser Ahmad	1772		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 2005.			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-28 and 30-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 and 29 is/are allowed.				
6)🛛	Claim(s) 34 is/are rejected.				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 35 is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers		•		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·.		).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a <sub>,</sub>	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri				
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	it received.		
		•			
•					
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	Summan (DTO 442)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔀 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/05.	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	Trademark Office		·		

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Rejection Withdrawn

1. Clam 34 rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang has been withdrawn in view of the amendment to claim34 filed on August 4, 2005.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 34 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang (6838142)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Yang relates that the release liner has pyramidal depressions with first and second sidewalls having angles of 0-90 (col. 7, lines 38-47). The pitch P is between 5 and 2500 microns (col. 7, lines 22-24), this pitch is inclusive of the now claimed pitch of less than abour 350 microns.

With regard to the phrase "capable of" performing a function, it has not been given patentable weight because said phrase is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-16 and 29 are allowed.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach an adhesive article comprising a release liner having at least three sidewalls, wherein the first and second sidewalls make an angle of between 0 and 90 degrees with respect to the plane of the surface, and that the first sidewall angle differs from the second sidewall angle by more than 10 degrees.

The closest prior art of Fleming (6759110) relates to an adhesive article having a release liner with structures extending from it surface and as shown in figures 5B and 6, the structure is pyramid shaped with sidewalls forming an angle "alpha". However, Fleming fails to teach that the presence of sidewall angles of "beta" which is different from "alpha" by more than 10 degrees.

Similarly, Yang (6838142) reference also teaches an adhesive article with the release liner having pyramidal structure thereon and figures 5, 6 7B shows that the sidewalls form an angle "alpha" with the surface of the plane. There is no teaching that the angle of a second sidewall differs from that of the first sidewall by more than 10 degrees.

Page 4

6. Claims 35 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach, as discussed above, that the first sidewall angle differs from the second sidewall angle by more than 20 degrees.

### **Conclusion**

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1772

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Election/Restrictions

8. This application contains claims 17-28 and 30-33 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in response filed on January 7, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

**Art Unit: 1772** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. October 17, 2005.